F74 G9673



Hollinger
pH 8.5
Mill Pug F03.2193

## AN ECCLESIASTICAL COUNCIL HELD AT GROTON, MASSACHUSETTS, APRIL 15, 1712.

AT a meeting of the Massachusetts Historical Society, held in Boston on Thursday, November 9, 1899, Dr. Samuel A. Green, in presenting an old manuscript, said:—

The following paper, recently brought to light, gives about the only information we have of an Ecclesiastical Council, held at Groton, on April 15, 1712, which was called to consider the troubles between Mr. Bradstreet, the minister, and The exact nature of the complaints then made by his parishioners is not known, but from the answers to some of the charges, their general character may be surmised. It has been thought that the troubles grew out of Mr. Bradstreet's Episcopal tendencies, but by the light of the manuscript this theory seems untenable. The paper itself is not the original report of the Council, but a contemporaneous copy of the same. Both from the style of writing and from the spelling it appears to be in the hand of Jonas Prescott, an inhabitant of Groton, who at that period was prominent in the affairs of both church and town. While he may have been illiterate himself, like most men of his times, and unused to scholarly ways, he became the ancestor of a long line of families distinguished in many different walks of life.

The Council consisted of five ministers or elders, and nine lay delegates or messengers. The ministers were the Rev. Grindall Rawson, of Mendon, who acted as Moderator of the Council; Rev. John Hancock, of Lexington, grandfather of the Signer; Rev. Joseph Baxter, of Medfield; Rev. John Swift, of Framingham; and Rev. John Prentiss, of Lancaster. The messengers were Thomas Wilder and John Houghton, of Lancaster; Samuel Stone and Joseph Bowman, of Lexington; Jonathan Boyden and Samuel Roocit (Rockwood), of Medfield; David Ryse (Rice) and Joshua Hemenway, of

Framingham; and John Tyler, of Mendon.

At a Councel of fine Churches Called by ye Rend mr dudly brodstret and the disatisfied bretherin of the Church of Crist in Groton & held in sd Groton Aprel 15: 1712 referring to the un hapy differince betwen them wee the subscribers Connened at the time and plase abone sd & having Earnstly Implored the help of all mity God and herd & Examined Eucdinces and allagations one all sids do aduise and determin as foloueth

Impremise or Impmy That the aduise tack plase as to the furst articol in the Charg which the reuernt Eldrs which were with them in nouembry e [date omitted] 1710 did give them namly that nither mr brodstret nor others should insist one the charges mad by them that could not be mad out Concidring the ouer of temtation thay were in & that a contry corse mit have a tendencey to Cast them in to further and grater confusons

II as to thee woord raysing in  $y^c$  2 articoll the sudscribers to the charge desiered it mit be with drawne as to mr brodstrets reporting the story refered to in the sd Charge mr brodstret has given ampel satisfaction partickerly to Thomas Tarboll inner  $y^c$  parson Imedatly Concarned and the 4 brethren whoo sined the sd Charge publickly de clared them selves satisfyed

III Concidring ye unacountabol parplexity and Contridixon of Euclinees refering to ye 3d articol uise ye charge layed against mr brodstret for abominadle Cariage towherds towerds [sic] the wife of Samuell Keemp wee are not aboll to de termin for the present one which side the truth lyes & there fore shall leue it to farther concidration untill wednsday ye 18 day of June next insuing at malbray unto which time and place we do agorne the councel hoping that thare may bee sumthing more of lite by that time gained by the help of which wee may be Enabled to pas to a more full determintion

IIII where the renorant mr brodstret is Charged with Immodst actions at the house of Samenell Commines one a satudday night we find the Eucliness in that Case so Connyctine that we determin it to be mr brodstrets duty to submit to the Euclidences and mack satisfaction according to gospell rull

V the fifth and sixt articls in the Charges Exhibited to us ware re-VI caled by the disatisfyed brethren them selues & therefore we find no reson to tack it in to our thouts too determin any thing apone them

we cannot but Expres the grat & affictive sence we have of the lamantabl condison over beloved brethren are in & of the sufering state of religon among them by reson of the long contineued and Exersiseing differences & divesors where bey their pese and quieat have bin obstructed & it is with Extreme sorou and afficton of sperit wee be hould the diffrances arision be twene ye reverend mr dud brodstret and the disatisfied brethren and other inhabintence be come so wide & grate as for bid us to hope for such reconcilation between them as may of fer a provibel prospect of the continuance of the relation thay stand in Each to other comfortable to either and do therefore beleve it to

be yo most hopefull Expedaent to their peese and comfort that the Church of Crist and in haditance of Groton do frely Consent that the reurend mr dudly bradstt may re moue from them & wee beleue it will Conduse to his oune Comfort to exsept that liberty when aloued him: wee furder ad that in order to his pesoble remoue from them it is their duty to maintaine a Du regard to his just rits according to there a greement with hime upone his setlement with them in the pastorl offise whilst this be dune wee cannot supepose thay will dell iustly with him nor comfortably for them selues & to y' prouiding to a nu setlment finely we Judge it the duty of paster and pepel to humbell them selues under the the [sic] ueru sever and Just & holy prouidence of God in per miting satou the Enemy of souls so long to inuad & imbors thare peese & to be uery lawfull to in quier into the causes of God anger & holy indignation manifest in so sore & humdling a dispershon of prouidence touerds them and we beleue it their duty to beg that God would shou to Euery of them where in thay have dun a mis to be waill it be fore God & to ask importinatly his pardoning marsey throu the bloud of a gratious & all mity redemer to hear the falt of these misers of iniquitys which cannot be by ouer most thout full & Cristell Endeuers Come att lyes where not able to determin but the holy god is the sercher of all harts beleue it tis the dudy of all parsons concarned to consider it and to act acording ly that thay may not line and dye with out indeuers af ter reconceilaton to God in order to ye ob taining for giuenes it shall be oner prayer to god that thay may in gods time which the lord in marsey hasen see a comfortadl eshue of all thare trobles one the acount of which thay have bin grat thoughts & serching of harts we pray that ouer endeuers touers bringing one of such an ishue may be Exceptable to god whose presence we have desiered & whoos rules we have acordingly to ouer light a lowed & to thos whoo here Desiered ouer presens with thaare and ouers result one the perticulers laid before us whome we recomend to the mersefull fauer & Conduct of gracous God and subscrid ouer selues youre serunts for Crists sack

grindall roson mod
John hancock
Josef baxter
John Swift
John Preutis

Thomas Wilder
John houton
Sameuel Stoon
Jonathan boydon
Dauid Ryse mesengers
Sameuell roocit
Josheuh hemingway
Joseph bowman
John Tyeler



According to the answer given to the third Article, the Council adjourned to meet at Marlborough, on June 18, 1712. While there is no record extant of what was then done at this adjourned meeting, the general result of the proceedings, and the action of the town thereon, may be gathered from the following entries in the Groton town-records (page 24):—

Whereas at A Metting of the Reu<sup>d</sup> Elders & messengers lately connened At Grotton april 15 1712 & at the meting of said Reu<sup>d</sup> Elders & messengers at Malbrô June 18° 1712 upon adiournmen Aduice hath been ginen to the Reu<sup>d</sup> M<sup>r</sup> dudley Bradstret Pastor of the church in Grotton & to the church of Christ there that the s<sup>d</sup> church & town should forthwith proseed to dismis the said M<sup>r</sup> Bradstret from his office bond & Relation to them as the most probable expedient in their Judgment to promote their peace & comfurt

In pursuance of the aboue specified Aduice the church of Christt In Grotton declare and It is noted that the Rend Mr dudley Bradstret is dismised from & is discharged of his pastorall Relation & office Obligation to the church of Grotton noted allso that our Bretheren capt prescot simon stone Jonas prescot ir be desiered & are impowered to present the aboue written notes of the church to the Inhabitanes of the town of Grotton for thair concurrence & to joyn with such person or persons as the town shall appoint to presant these nots & the towns concurrence therewith to the Rund Mr Bradstret

GROTTON July 22 1712

At a Meting of the Inhabitants of the town of Grotton legalley warned to consider certain notes of the church of Christ in Grotton Refaring to the redischarging the Reud:  $M^r$  dudley Bradstret from his Pastorall Relation to tham & his office bond to so church — noted that the town doth concur with the nots of the church refering to  $M^r$  brodstrets dismission as a bone expressed & that the Inhabitants of so Grotton doe release the so  $M^r$  Bradstret from the Relation he stands in to them as their minister

uoted also that Nathanill Woods Ephrim Parc with capt prascot simon ston Jonas Prascot ir Chosen by the church to present the uotes to the town at this presant meeting of the Inhabitants be a committy on the behalf of the town to presant the uots of the church & town to the Reud Mr Bradstret

GROTTON July 24

F74 G9673

